



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/606,190 | 06/25/2003 | Kevin Thorne | SBI-129 | 2194 | |
| 45488 | 7590 04/18/ | | EXAMINER | | |
| | S, MORGAN & A | AFREMOVA, VERA | | | |
| | IMOND, SUITE 110 . TX 77042 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 1651 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| · | Vera Afremova | 1651 | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | 06.07(f). | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | hortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing da | inally set in the final Offi | ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | s of the date of e appeal. Since |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further corton They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | - , , , , , | the issues for |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . | will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will rided below or appended. | i be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <i>1-24</i> . | | | _ |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. | • | | |
| <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | hoforo or on the data of filing a Ni | nting of Annual will me | 4 ha amtauad |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | I sufficient reasons why the affiday | it or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after en | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Attachment to Advisory Action

Applicants arguments filed 3/24/2006 have been fully considered but not found persuasive because they are mostly directed to the same issues discussed in the last office action.

Main applicant's argument is directed to the teaching of patent US 4,294,753 (Urist).

Applicant argues that the cited patent does not teach the use of "a mineral-containing supernatant" for extraction of osteogenic proteins (BMPs) and that the cited patent teaches away by disclosing a repeated removal of minerals by dialysis in HCl solution.

However, the question whether a reference "teaches away" from the invention is inapplicable to an anticipation analysis. MPEP.2131.05.

The cited patent US'753 clearly states that at least some fractions of total BMPs are recovered from the HCl solution (col. 3, lines 9-10) that is "a mineral-containing supernatant" within the meaning of the instant claims and when read in the light of specification (example 6). The applicant's example 6 describes that some fractions of total BMPs are recovered from "acid demineralization solution" (page 21) that is the same as the cited Urist's HCl solution.

The preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away and a patent is relevant as prior art for all it contains. See MPEP 2123.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (571) 272-0914. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday from 9.30 am to 6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached at (571) 272-0926.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,190

Art Unit: 1651

The fax phone number for the TC 1600 where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Page 3

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 1600, telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Vera Afremova

AU 1651

April 14, 2006

VERA AFREMOVA

PRIMARY EXAMINER